

<u>3rd Progress Presentation Report</u>

Candidate's Name	Enrolment No.
Faculty	
Program	
Thesis Title	
Date of Presentation	
GEC Member Name	Designation
GEC Member's Email Address	Contact No.

Guideline For Evaluator:

The outcomes of the 3rd progressive may be Satisfactory, partially satisfactory or Unsatisfactory based upon the qualitative assessment done by the GEC on a prescribed Performa. The results should demonstrate the following:

- i. Key parameters of the study
- ii. Effect of input on expected outputs
- iii. Validation of results by comparing either with experimental data & theoretical model or with reference to the published results
- iv. Highlighting deviations/improvements and their justifications

Check	(√)	
a.	Publication requirement in at-least HEC recognized W category journal (for sciences) / X category journal for (social sciences) [for candidates having review / preliminary results were published in peer review national/international conference] OR X category journal (for sciences) / Y category journal (for social Sciences) [for candidates having review / preliminary results were published in HEC recognized X category journal (for Sciences) / Y category journal (for Social Sciences)]	
b.	Observation(s) received by the panel in the previous evaluation are incorporated	
c.	Final results are obtained	
d.	Analysis of results is sufficient to draw a conclusion	
e.	Research outcomes/ preliminary conclusion is clearly defined	
f.	First draft of the thesis is ready to present (optional)	

OVERALL STYLE AND ORGANISATION:

Please state not relevant, where appropriate.

1. The overall contents of the Progress Presentation as per given checklist:

Comments:



2. All Research objectives as mentioned in the Research Proposal/1st Progress/2nd Progress are achieved

Comments:

3. Final results are obtained and presented referring to the achievement of all objectives

Comments:

4. Analysis of results shown in 3rd progress presentation are sufficient to draw a conclusion

Comments:

5. Research outcomes are justified and clearly mapped with the objectives of the project

Comments

6. Validation of results by comparing either with experimental data & theoretical model or with reference to the published results

Comments

- 7. Publication requirements for PhD degree fulfilled as per existing ZU postgraduate policy Comments
- 8. References provided are justified

Comments

9. Any comments/suggestions that should be incorporated in the final thesis draft



ZIAUDDIN UNIVERSITY

Comments

Rubrics for Assessment:

(√)	Outcome	Evaluation Category	Action
	Satisfactory	Approved	Through for the thesis submission and evaluation
	Partially Satisfactory	Approved with Change	Through for the thesis submission and evaluation after complying all the observations and recommendations of the GEC. The supervisor will check the
	Unsatisfactory	Rejected	1st Attempt: Comply with all the observations and recommendations of the GEC and submit within a month from the date of evaluation. RC shall check
			2nd Attempt: The candidate may choose among the two options; Termination from the Ph.D. degree program with a Post Graduate Diploma (PGD) or complete the remaining formalities to get an MS / M Phil degree in the same domain.

GEC member's Signature

Date