

2nd Progress Presentation Report

Candidate's Name	Enrolment No.
Faculty	
Program	
Thesis Title	
Date of Presentation	
GEC Member Name	Designation
GEC Member's Email Address	Contact No.

Guideline For Evaluator:

The outcomes of the 2nd progressive may be Satisfactory, partially satisfactory or Unsatisfactory based upon the qualitative assessment done by the GEC on a prescribed Performa. The results should demonstrate the following:

- i. Key parameters of the study
- ii. Effect of input on expected outputs
- Validation of results by comparing either with experimental data & theoretical model or with iii. reference to the published results
- Highlighting deviations/improvements and their justifications iv.

Che	ecklist for 2 nd Progress Presentation:	(√)
a.	Review Paper/ Preliminary results presented in at least a peer-review international conference or HEC-recognized X category journal (for Sciences) / Y category journal	
b.	Completion of milestones as committed in 1st progress evaluation	
c.	Observation(s) received by the panel in the previous evaluation are incorporated	
d.	Current status of results is satisfactory and in the direction of the goal set for the study	
e.	Bench work required (If any)	
f.	Next target identification for the 3rd progressive	

OVERALL STYLE AND ORGANISATION:

Comments:

Please state not relevant, where appropriate.
1. The overall contents of the Progress Presentation as per given checklist:
Comments:
2. In terms of the Literature Review Presented



	erms of any new development relevant to the proposed methodology since the time of 1st progress entation
Comm	ents:
	apletion of milestones as recommended by GEC in 1st progress presentation are incorporated in this entation
Comm	ents:
	us of the targeted objectives, in case of partially achieved objectives due to justification provided by the lidate
. Iden Comm	tification of Experimental setup /Verification platform/ Protocol Design/ Algorithm Design ents
	rent Status of Results in terms of Development and Implementation of the proposed methodology in the ction of the goal set for the study / Benchwork status (if any)
	Review Paper/ Preliminary Results Published of accepted in any HJRS/ ISI indexed Journal or ference Proceedings:



	eferences are					
Con	nments					
		-	r Assessment:			
√)	Outcome	Evaluation Category	Action Through for the 2rd processes evaluation			
	Satisfactory	Approved	Through for the 3rd progress evaluation			
	Partially Satisfactory	Approved with Change	Through for the 3rd progress evaluation with the			
			condition that point 2 given in the checklist for the aforementioned is compulsory to be fulfilled before the			
	Unsatisfactory	Rejected	1st Attempt: Re-take the Progress evaluation within 3			
	•	, and the second	months of the date of conduct of the 2nd evaluation			
			2nd Attempt: The candidate may choose among the two options; Termination from the Ph.D. degree program with a Post Graduate Diploma (PGD) or complete the			