

1st Progress Presentation Report

Candidate's Name		Enrolment No.
Faculty		
Program		
Thesis Title		
Date of Presentation		
GEC Member Name	П	Designation
GEC Member's Email Address		Contact No.

Guideline For Evaluator:

The outcomes of the 1st progressive may be Satisfactory, partially satisfactory or Unsatisfactory based upon the qualitative assessment done by the GEC on a prescribed Performa. The results should demonstrate the following:

- i. Key parameters of the study
- ii. Effect of input on expected outputs
- iii. Validation of results by comparing either with experimental data & theoretical model or with reference to the published results
- iv. Highlighting deviations/improvements and their justifications

Checkli	st for 1st Progress Presentation:	(√)
a.	Literature review; any new development relevant to the proposed methodology since the time of the proposal defense	
b.	Magnitude of the work done; status of targeted objectives (as per given timeline at the time of proposal defense) must be completed. In case of partially achieved objectives, due justification must be provided by the candidate.	
c.	Preliminary results; in terms of development and implementation of the proposed methodology/Testbed/verification platform/protocol design.	
d.	Next target identification for the 2nd progressive.	

OVERALL STYLE AND ORGANISATION:

Please state not relevant, where appropriate.	
1. The overall contents of the Progress Presentation as per given checklist:	
Comments:	
2. In terms of the Literature Review Presented	
Comments:	



3. In terms of any new development relevant to the proposed methodology since the time of the proposal

defense
Comments:
4. Research proposal recommendations and observations of the experts are incorporated in this presentation
Comments:
 Status of the targeted objectives, in case of partially achieved objectives due to justification provided by the candidate
Comments
6. Identification of Experimental setup /Verification platform/ Protocol Design/ Algorithm Design Comments
7. Preliminary Results in terms of development and implementation of the proposed methodology Comments
8. The overall methodology presented is
Comments
9. References
Comments



Rubrics for Assessment:

(√)	Outcome	Evaluation Category	Action
	Satisfactory	Approved	Through for the 2nd progress evaluation
	Partially Satisfactory	Approved with Change	Through for the 2nd progress evaluation with the condition that point 3 given in the checklist for the aforementioned is compulsory to be fulfilled before the
	Unsatisfactory	Rejected	1st Attempt: Re-take the Progress evaluation within 3 months of the date of conduct of the 1st evaluation
		Rejected	2nd Attempt: The candidate may choose among the two options; 1. Termination from the Ph.D. degree program with a Post Graduate Diploma (PGD) or complete the remaining formalities to get an MS / M Phil degree in the same domain.

GEC member's Signature	Date	