



ZIAUDDIN UNIVERSITY

OPEN DEFENSE REVIEWER'S REPORT

Date of Defense			
Candidate's Name		Enrolment	
Faculty			
Program			
Thesis Title			
Reviewer's Name			
Reviewer's Affiliation		Designation	
Reviewer's Email Address		Contact No.	

RUBRICS FOR ORAL DEFENSE REVIEWER

SCALE	RUBRICS
<p>SCALE 1:</p> <p>SUCCESSFUL</p>	<p>The candidate is recommended for award of the Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree.</p> <p>These recommendations are made if the thesis:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Does not require corrections or Has amendments/corrections in the thesis as stated in the Panel of Examiners Report; Requires some editing of text, formatting of tables and, diagrams, correction of grammar, spelling, and other typographical conventions, etc. The confirmation of corrections for the thesis has to be made by the Supervisor.
<p>SCALE 2:</p> <p>CONDITIONALLY SUCCESSFUL</p>	<p>The candidate is recommended for award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy/Master's, subject to amendments/corrections to the thesis as specified in the Panel of Examiners Report.</p> <p>These recommendations are made if the thesis:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Requires minor editing of text editing, formatting of tables and diagrams, correction of grammar, spelling, and typographical conventions, etc.; Requires only a modicum of additional information; Requires only an explanation regarding a number of small parts in the text; Does not contain many changes in the summing up/conclusion that has been rewritten. <p>The confirmation of the veracity of the corrections has to be made by the internal examiner and the supervisor.</p>
<p>SCALE 3:</p>	<p>The candidate is unsuccessful in defending his research work of Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree</p>



ZIAUDDIN UNIVERSITY

UNSUCCESSFUL	These recommendations are made if the thesis: i. Has obvious major weaknesses that additional work or corrections cannot address and hence the quality of the thesis cannot be increased to the level of set standards/requirements; or ii. Has weaknesses that could not be remedied; or iii. <u>The research carried out was inadequate/insufficient.</u>
---------------------	---

PRESENTATION OF RESEARCH-WORK:

Please indicate your response by **circling** the most appropriate number. Please state not relevant, where appropriate.

1. In terms of clarity of presentation is

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all		Most appropriate		
1	2	3	4	5

2. In terms of structure and organization of presentation is

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all		Most appropriate		
1	2	3	4	5

3. The use of Visual Aids for presentation are

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all		Most appropriate		
1	2	3	4	5

4. The time management for presentation is

Comments:				
-----------	--	--	--	--



Not appropriate at all			Most appropriate	
1	2	3	4	5

RESEARCH CONTENT

5. In term of originality and innovation, the research-work is

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all			Most appropriate	
1	2	3	4	5

6. The literature review is

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all			Most appropriate	
1	2	3	4	5

7. The Research methodology adopted is

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all			Most appropriate	
1	2	3	4	5

8. The data collected for the analysis and testing are

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all			Most appropriate	
1	2	3	4	5



ZIAUDDIN UNIVERSITY

9. Data Analysis and results are

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all			Most appropriate	
1	2	3	4	5

10. Interpretation and Discussion are

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all			Most appropriate	
1	2	3	4	5

11. The conclusion and recommendations of the research-work are

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all			Most appropriate	
1	2	3	4	5

VIVA VOCE (Q & A session)

12. The candidate receptiveness towards questions/suggestions

Comments:				
Not appropriate at all			Most appropriate	
1	2	3	4	5

13. The candidate understanding of subject matter is

Comments:				
-----------	--	--	--	--



ZIAUDDIN UNIVERSITY

Not appropriate at all					Most appropriate				
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5

14. The candidate clarity of answers is:

Comments:									
Not appropriate at all					Most appropriate				
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5

Please indicate your summary recommendation by placing a tick (✓) against the appropriate paragraph:

(✓)	Result Scale	Recommendations of Oral defense
	SCALE 1	Successful The candidate is recommended for an award of Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree
	SCALE 2	Conditionally Successful The candidate is recommended for an awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree subject to the changes/ corrections recommended during open defense session.
	SCALE 3	Unsuccessful The candidate is not recommended for the award of Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree due to lack of information or fails to provide enough data to establish the evidence for the drawn conclusion. Re-take the oral defense with complete resources and evidence.

Reviewer's Signature

Date