THESIS REVIEWER'S REPORT

Candidate's Name	Enrolment
Faculty	
Program	
Thesis Title	
Reviewer's Name	
Reviewer's	Designation
Reviewer's Email Address	Contact No.

GUIDELINES FOR THESIS REVIEWER'S REPORT FORM

The Thesis Examiner's Report Form consists of Four (4) sections:

- I. SECTION A: GUIDED REPORT
- II. SECTION B: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MAJOR REVISION
- III. SECTION C: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MINOR REVISION / OTHER COMMENTS
- IV. SECTION D: EVALUATION RUBRICS

All Examiners are requested to submit their reports using the Thesis Reviewer's Report Form

RUBRICS FOR THE REVIEWER

SCALE	RUBRICS	
SCALE 1: PASS	The candidate is awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree.	
	These recommendations are made if the thesis:	
	 Does not require corrections or Has amendments/corrections in the thesis as stated in the Panel of Examiners Report; 	
	ii. Requires some editing of text, formatting of tables and, diagrams, correction of grammar, spelling, and other typographical conventions, etc.	
	iii. The confirmation of corrections for the thesis has to be made by the Supervisor.	
SCALE 2: PASS WITH MINOR	The candidate is awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy/Master's, subject to amendments/corrections to the thesis as specified in the Panel of Examiners Report.	
CORRECTIONS		
	These recommendations are made if the thesis:	
	 Requires minor editing of text editing, formatting of tables and diagrams, correction of grammar, spelling, and typographical conventions, etc.; 	
	ii. Requires only a modicum of additional information;	
	iii. Requires only an explanation regarding a number of small parts in the text;	

	 iv. DOES NOT REQUIRE supplementary experimental work, the collection of new data or major re-writing; and v. Does not contain many changes in the summing up/conclusion that has been rewritten. The confirmation of the veracity of the corrections has to be made by the internal examiner and the supervisor. The maximum duration allowed for making corrections is six (6) months. However, the Thesis Examination Board can determine the maximum period commensurate with the number of corrections required for Theis of not more than one (1) year.
SCALE 3: PASS WITH MAJOR CORRECTIONS	The candidate is awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy/Master's subject to amendments/corrections to the thesis as specified in the Panel of Examiners Report. These recommendations are made if the thesis: i. Requires major editing of text, formatting of tables and diagrams, correction of grammar, spelling, and typographical conventions, etc.; ii. Requires additional information; iii. Requires supplementary statistical analysis, rewriting of major parts of the thesis and the expansion of the literature review. The confirmation of the veracity of the corrections has to be made by the Panel of Examiners (External Examiner or Examiner or both) and the Supervisor. The maximum duration allowed for making corrections is nine (9) months. However, the Thesis Examination Board can determine the maximum period commensurate with the number of corrections required for the thesis of not more than one (1) year.
SCALE 4: FAIL	The candidate is not eligible to be awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree and is not recommended to resubmit the thesis for examination. These recommendations are made if the thesis: i. Has obvious major weaknesses that additional work or corrections cannot address and hence the quality of the thesis cannot be increased to the level of set standards/requirements; or ii. Has weaknesses that could not be remedied; or The research carried out was inadequate/insufficient.

SECTION A

OVERALL STYLE AND ORGANISATION:

Please indicate your response by **circling** the most appropriate number. Please state not relevant, where appropriate.

1.	In terms of contents	s, the thesis title is			
	Comments:				
	Not appropriate at		T _	Τ.	Most appropriate
	1	2	3	4	5
_	T	4 1 4 4			
2.	In terms of conciser	ness, the abstract is			
	Comments:				
	Not appropriate at	all			Most appropriate
	1	2	3	4	5
	1] 3	Т] 3
3.	In terms of clarity o	of presentation, the th	nesis is		
٠.	Comments:	T presentation, the tr	10010 10		
	Comments.				
	Not appropriate at	all			Most appropriate
	1	2	3	4	5
				-	•
4.	In terms of organiza	ation (chapters, bibli	ography, Appendices	s, etc.), the thesis is	
	Comments:				
	Not appropriate at	all			Most appropriate
	1	2	3	4	5

Not appropriate at all	The language and gran	nmar are			
Not appropriate at all					
Not appropriate at all					
1					
1					
Not appropriate at all					
The spellings are Comments:					Most appropriat
Not appropriate at all	1 2		3	4	5
Not appropriate at all	TP111!				
Not appropriate at all Most applementation I 2 3 4 5 ROBUSTNESSOF THESIS The terminology used is Comments: Not appropriate at all Most applementation Most applementation The literature review is Comments: Most applementation Most applementation Not appropriate at all Most applementation Most applementation Applementation Not appropriate at all James applementation Applementation Applementation Not appropriate at all James applementation Applementation Applementation Research objectives are Applementation Applementation Applementation					
1	Comments.				
1					
1					
1					
1					
ROBUSTNESSOF THESIS The terminology used is Comments: Not appropriate at all Most apple 1	Not appropriate at all	<u>. </u>		Г.	Most appropria
The terminology used is Comments:	1 2	,	3	4	5
1 2 3 4 5 The literature review is Comments: Not appropriate at all 1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are August Appropriate at all 5 5					
1 2 3 4 5 The literature review is Comments: Not appropriate at all 1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are August Appropriate at all 5 5					
1 2 3 4 5 The literature review is Comments: Not appropriate at all Most appropriate at all 5 Research objectives are 3 4 5	Not appropriate at all	- 			Most appropria
Not appropriate at all Most app 1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are			3	4	
Not appropriate at all Most app 1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are	TTI 1'4 4				
Not appropriate at all 1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are		<u>S</u>			
1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are	Comments.				
1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are					
1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are					
1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are					
1 2 3 4 5 Research objectives are	Not appropriate at all				Most appropria
Research objectives are			3	Δ	
	1 2	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	т	3
	Research objectives ar	e			



Not appropriate at	all			Most appropriate
1	2	3	4	5

The methodolog	y is			
Comments:				
Not annualist	4 -11			Mastanananista
Not appropriate	2	3	4	Most appropriate 5
		.		
The data collecte	ed for the analys	sis and testing are		
Comments.				
Not appropriate			T	Most appropriate
1	2	3	4	5
The quantitative	(statistical)/ana	litative analysis is C	omments	
Comments:	(statistical)/qua	mative analysis is C	Offinients	
Not appropriate	e at all			Most appropriate
1	2	3	4	5
D / /: C /:		1 1 .		
Comments:	n interpretation a	and conclusion are		
Comments:				
Not appropriate				Most appropriate
1	2	3	4	5
		1 2	1	-
The discussion of	corresponds to the	ne results of the study	y	
Comments:				



	Not appropriate at	all			Most appropriate
	1	2	3	4	5
				•	
15.	The discussion is				
	Comments:				
	Not appropriate at			T.	Most appropriate
	1	2	3	4	5
16	References are				
10.	Comments:				
	Comments.				
	Not appropriate at	all			Most appropriate
	1	2	3	4	5
					10
17.	The novelty level of	f the research is			
	Comments:				
	Not appropriate at	all			Most appropriate



SECTION B

MAJOR REVISIONS REQUIRED (IF ANY)



SECTION C

OTHER COMMENTS / MINOR REVISION REQUIRED (IF ANY)

Please indicate your summary recommendation by placing a tick ($\sqrt{}$) against the appropriate paragraph:

()	Result Scale	Recommendations upon Examination of Thesis
	SCALE 1	Pass The candidate is awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree
	SCALE 2	Pass with Minor Corrections The candidate is awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree subject to changes/ corrections to the thesis as listed in the Panel of Examiners Report.
	SCALE 3	Pass with Major Changes/Corrections The candidate is awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree subject to changes/ corrections to the thesis as listed in the Panel of Examiners Report. The thesis MUST be revised and verified by the Panel of Examiners after the candidate has made the changes/corrections
	SCALE 4	Fail The candidate is not eligible to be awarded a Doctor of Philosophy/Master's Degree and is not allowed to re-submit the thesis for examination.

Reviewer's Signature	Date