



Ziauddin Medical University

Department: _____

Self-Assessment Report

Submitted to

Quality Enhancement cell

Ziauddin Medical University

Dated: _____

Program Representative Team:

Chairman:

Members:

Table of Contents

Criterion 1: Program Mission Objectives and Outcome:..... 4

Standard 1-1: Mission and Objectives	4
Standard 1-2: Documenting Outcomes	5
Standard 1-3: Assessment Results and Improvement plans.....	5
1-32: Form A (attached as annexure): Survey of graduating seniors (to be conducted every semester)	6
Standard 1-4: Overall Performance	10

Criterion 2: Curriculum Design and Organization: 13

Form D (attached as Annexure): Faculty Course review report	13
Form E (attached as Annexure): Student course evaluation Questionnaire	14
Standard 2-1: Courses versus Objectives.....	14
Standard 2-2: Theory, Problem analysis and Solution design	14
Standard 2-3: Basic sciences requirements:	14
Standard 2-4: Major requirements as specified by Accreditation body:.....	15
Standard 2-5: Humanities, social sciences, Islamiat, Arts, Ethical, professional, Pak studies as specified by Accreditation body:.....	15
Standard 2-6: Information technology contents:	16
Standard 2-7: Communication Skills:	16

Criterion 3: Laboratory and computing Facilities 17

Standard 3-1: Availability of Lab manuals/ documentation/Instructions.....	19
Standard 3-2: Adequate Personal support for Labs:.....	19
Standard 3-3: Adequate computing infra structure and facilities	19

Criterion 4: Student support and advising 21

Standard 4-1: Sufficient number of students and frequency of course offerings	21
Standard 4-2: Effective student/faculty interaction	21
Standard 4-3: Course decisions and Career counseling.....	21

Criterion 5: Process control 23

Standard 5-1: Admission criteria.....	23
Standard 5-2: Process of registration	23
Standard 5-3: Faculty recruitment, evaluation and promotion.....	24
Standard 5-4: Teaching and delivery of course material	24
Form F (attached as Annexure): Teacher’s Evaluation Form.....	24
Standard 5-5: Graduation process	25
Form G (attached as Annexure): Survey of Departments offering PhD program.....	25
Criterion 6: Faculty	26
Standard 6-1: Faculty numbers and Qualifications.....	26
Standard 6-2: Faculty Development	26
Standard 6-3: Faculty Motivation	27
Form I (attached as annexure): Obtain Faculty input using faculty survey on programs for faculty motivation and job satisfaction	27
Criterion 7: Institutional Facilities.....	29
Standard 7-1: Supporting Infrastructure	29
Standard 7-2: Library.....	29
Standard 7-3: Classrooms	29
Criterion 8: Institutional support	30
Standard 8-1: Support and financial resources.....	30
Standard 8-2: Graduate students and research assistants.....	30
Form J (attached as Annexure): Research Student Progress review form Standard 8-3: Financial resources.....	30

Annexures: Forms A-J

Criterion 1: Program Mission Objectives and Outcome:

Standard 1-1: Mission and Objectives

1-11: Mission statement of Institution:

1-12: Mission statement of Department:

1-13: Mission statement of program:

1-14: Program Objectives:

State objectives and describe how each objective is aligned with program, departmental and institutional mission statements.

Outline the main elements of the strategic plan to achieve the program mission and objectives

1a) Objective 1:

1b) Strategic Plan to achieve objective 1

2a) Objective 2:

2b) Strategic Plan to achieve objective 2

3a) Objective 3:

3b) Strategic Plan to achieve objective 3

1-15: Program Objectives Assessment

Objective	How measured	When measured	Improvement identified	Improvement made

Standard 1-2: Documenting Outcomes

1-21: State the expected outcomes which support the program objectives including the expected competencies of graduating students.

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____
6. _____

Standard 1-3: Assessment Results and Improvement plans

1-31: How the Program Outcomes support the Program Objectives

	Objectives				
	1	2	3	4	5
Outcome	1				
	2				
	4				
	5				
	6				

1-32: Form A (attached as annexure): Survey of graduating seniors (to be conducted every semester)

Observations and recommendations related to the Graduating student survey:

a) Program:

Observations:

Recommendations:

b) Training:

Observations:

Recommendations:

c) Faculty:

Observations:

Recommendations:

d) The following represents some of the recommendations made by graduating students

e) Recommendations by the department to take the graduating student's points into account:

1-33: Form B (attached as annexure): Survey of Alumni (To be conducted every 2 years)

Observations and recommendations related to the Alumni survey:

a) Knowledge:

Observations:

Recommendations:

b) Communication skills:

Observations:

Recommendations:

c) Interpersonal Skills:

Observations:

Recommendations:

d) Work Skills:

Observations:

Recommendations:

e) The following represents some of the recommendations made by Alumni

f) Recommendations by the department to take the Alumni's points into account:

1-34: Form C (attached as annexure): Survey of Employers (To be conducted every 2 years)

Observations and recommendations related to the Employers survey:

Knowledge:

Observations:

Recommendations:

Communication skills:

Observations:

Recommendations:

Interpersonal Skills:

Observations:

Recommendations:

Leadership Skills:

Observations:

Recommendations:

The following represents some of the recommendations made by Employers

Recommendations by the department to take the employers points into account:

Standard 1-4: Overall Performance

1-41: Students

Year	Number of graduating students	First Honors %	Second Honors %	Third Honors %	Graduating Average GPA	Average time for Completion (Years)	Faculty Student's Ratio:

Overall satisfaction of students as assessed by graduating student's survey:

1-42: Faculty

a) Journal publications

b) Funded projects

c) Conferences attended per faculty

d) Excellence/ awards

e) Overall Faculty satisfaction as assessed by the Faculty survey:

1-43: Community services:

a) Workshops:

b) Courses:

c) Seminars:

Form D (attached as Annexure): Faculty Course review report

Form E (attached as Annexure): Student course evaluation Questionnaire

Standard 2-1: Courses versus Objectives

2-11: Describe how the program content (courses) meets the program objectives:

2-12: Courses Vs Program Outcomes:

Courses	Outcomes					
	1	2	3	4	5	6

Standard 2-2: Theory, Problem analysis and Solution design

2-21: Indicate which courses contain a significant portion (more than 30%) of the elements in standard 2 in the following table.

Elements	Courses
Theoretical Background	
Problem Analysis	
Solution Design	

Standard 2-3: Basic sciences requirements:

2-31: Indicate whether the curriculum satisfies the basic sciences requirements for program as specified by the respective accreditation body.

a) Yes/No

b) Accreditation body: _____

c) Requirements:

d) Deviations (if any) and justification for deviations:

Standard 2-4: Major requirements as specified by Accreditation body:

2-41: Indicate whether the curriculum satisfies the major requirements for program as specified by the respective accreditation body.

a) Yes/No

b) Accreditation body: _____

c) Requirements:

d) Deviations (if any) and justification for deviations:

Standard 2-5: Humanities, social sciences, Islamiat, Arts, Ethical, professional, Pak studies as specified by Accreditation body:

2-51: Indicate whether the curriculum satisfies Humanities, social sciences, Islamiat, Arts, Ethical, professional, Pak studies as specified by Accreditation body:

a) Yes/No

b) Accreditation body: _____

c) Requirements:

d) Deviations (if any) and justification for deviations:

Standard 2-6: Information technology contents:

2-61: Indicate the Information technology contents of curriculum courses:

Course	IT Content

Standard 2-7: Communication Skills:

2-71: Explain how development and application of oral and written communication skills of students are applied and integrated throughout the program:

Course	Communication skills content

Criterion 3: Laboratory and computing Facilities

- a) Provide the following information about each laboratory and computing facility that are available for use in the program under assessment:

Laboratory title	
Location and area	
Objectives	
Software available (if any)	
Major apparatus	
Major Equipment	
Adequacy for Instruction	
Safety regulations	

Laboratory title	
Location and area	
Objectives	
Software available (if any)	
Major apparatus	
Major Equipment	
Adequacy for Instruction	
Safety regulations	

Laboratory title	
Location and area	
Objectives	
Software available (if any)	
Major apparatus	
Major Equipment	
Adequacy for	

Instruction	
Safety regulations	

Standard 3-1: Availability of Lab manuals/ documentation/Instructions

3-11: Explain how students and faculty have adequate and timely access to the manuals/ documentation and instructions:

3-12: Indicate short comings in laboratory (bench marking with similar departments in reputable institutions)

Standard 3-2: Adequate Personal support for Labs:

3-21: Indicate for each lab the following:

Lab	Support personnel	Level of support	Instructional support

Standard 3-3: Adequate computing infra structure and facilities

3-31: Describe how computing facilities support the computing component of your program

3-32: Indicate any shortcomings, benchmarking with similar departments in reputable institutions:

Criterion 4: Student support and advising

Standard 4-1: Sufficient number of students and frequency of course offerings

4-11: Indicate frequency and number of students enrolled in each of the following courses:

a) Core:

b) Elective:

c) Core courses offered by other departments:

Standard 4-2: Effective student/faculty interaction

4-21: Describe how you achieve effective student/faculty interaction in courses taught by more than one faculty member/lecturers or teaching assistants.

Standard 4-3: Course decisions and Career counseling

4-31: Describe how students are informed about program requirements:

4-32: Describe the advising system and indicate how its effectiveness is measured

4-33: Describe the student counseling system and how students get professional counseling when needed

4-34: Indicate if students have access to professional counseling when necessary

4-35: Describe opportunities available for students to interact with practitioners and to have membership in technical and professional societies.

Criterion 5: Process control

Standard 5-1: Admission criteria

5-11: Describe the program admission criteria at the institutional level and departmental level

5-12: Describe policy regarding transfer from other universities/ credit transfer or transfer to the program from another major within the college:

5-13: Indicate how frequently the admission criteria are evaluated and if the evaluation results are used to improve the process

Standard 5-2: Process of registration

5-21: Describe how students are registered in the program

5-22: Describe how student's academic progress is monitored and how their program of study is verified to adhere to the degree requirements.

5-23: Indicate how frequently the process of registration and monitoring are evaluated and if the evaluation results are used to improve the process

Standard 5-3: Faculty recruitment, evaluation and promotion

5-31: Describe the process used to ensure that highly qualified faculty is recruited to the program

5-32: Indicate methods used to retain excellent faculty members

5-33: Indicate how evaluation and promotion processes are in line with institution mission statement

5-34: Indicate how frequently this process is evaluated and if the evaluation results are used to improve the process

Standard 5-4: Teaching and delivery of course material

Form F (attached as Annexure): Teacher’s Evaluation Form

5-41: Describe the process and procedures used to ensure that teaching and delivery of course material is effective and focus on students learning

5-42: Indicate how frequently this process is evaluated and if the evaluation results are used to improve the process

Standard 5-5: Graduation process

5-51: Describe the procedures used to ensure that graduates meet the program requirements

5-52: Describe when this procedure is evaluated and whether the results of this evaluation are used to improve the process

Form G (attached as Annexure): Survey of Departments offering PhD program

Criterion 6: Faculty

Standard 6-1: Faculty numbers and Qualifications

6-11: Complete the following table indicating program areas and number of faculty in each area

Program's area of specialization	Courses in the area and average number of sections/year	Number of faculty members in each area	Number of Faculty with PhD degree
Total			

6-12: Each Faculty member should complete a resume (attached as form H)

Standard 6-2: Faculty Development

6-21: Describe the criteria developed by the department, for faculty to be deemed current in the discipline.

6-22: Based on the above criteria and information in the faculty member's resumes what percentage of them is current?

6-23: Describe the means for ensuring that full time faculty members have sufficient time for scholarly and professional development

6-24: Describe existing faculty development programs at the departmental and university level. Demonstrate their effectiveness in achieving faculty development

6-25: Indicate how frequently faculty programs are evaluated and if the evaluation results are used for improvement.

Standard 6-3: Faculty Motivation

6-31: Describe program and processes in place for faculty motivation.

Form I (attached as annexure): Obtain Faculty input using faculty survey on programs for faculty motivation and job satisfaction

6-32: Indicate overall rating of the faculty regarding:

a) Academics:

Observations:

Recommendations:

b) Interpersonal:

Observations:

Recommendations:

c) Incentives:

Observations:

Recommendations:

d) Support and Supervision:

Observations:

Recommendations:

e) The following represents some of the recommendations made by Faculty

f) Recommendations by the department to take the Faculty's points into account:

Criterion 7: Institutional Facilities

Standard 7-1: Supporting Infrastructure

7-11: Describe the infrastructure and facilities that support new trends in learning

7-12: Indicate how adequate the facilities are.

Standard 7-2: Library

7-21: Describe the adequacy of the library's technical collection

7-2: Describe the support rendered by the library

Standard 7-3: Classrooms

7-31: Describe the adequacy of the class rooms

7-32: Describe the adequacy of faculty offices

Criterion 8: Institutional support

Standard 8-1: Support and financial resources

8-11: Describe how your program meets the standard of adequate support and financial services. If it does not explain the main causes and plans to rectify the situation

8-12: Describe the level of adequacy of secretarial support, technical staff and office equipment

Standard 8-2: Graduate students and research assistants

8-21: Provide the number of graduate students, research assistants, PhD students and the faculty graduate ratio for the last 3 years.

	Year 20__	Year 20__	Year 20__
Graduate students			
Research assistants			
PhD students			
Faculty graduate ratio			

Form J (attached as Annexure): Research Student Progress review form

Standard 8-3: Financial resources

8-31: Describe the resources available for the library

The library has the following resources:

Item	Quantity as of _____ (Month/year)
Books	
Periodicals	
Full text journal articles	
Media	

Others	
--------	--

8-32: Describe the resources available for the Laboratories

The laboratory has the following resources:

Course	Lab	Equipments

8-33: Describe the resources available for the computing facilities

The laboratory for computing facilities has the following resources:

Course	Lab	Computing equipments